



ASSESSMENT OF PARENTS AND F₁ CROSSES FOR YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS UNDER SICK PLOT AND CONTROL CONDITION IN GROUNDNUT (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)

K. AMARNATH*, M. REDDISEKHAR, K. JOHN, P. SUDHAKAR AND K. VISWANTH

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, S.V. Agricultural College, ANGRAU, Tirupati-517502.

Date of Receipt: 23-11-2022

ABSTRACT

Date of Acceptance: 18-01-2023

In the present investigation, twenty F₁ crosses along with nine parents (5 lines and four testers) were evaluated for 23 yield, yield components and stem rot resistance during *rabi*, 2019 in order to assess the *per se* performance of parents and crosses under sick plot and control condition. Analysis of variance for all 23 yield, yield components and stem rot resistance revealed that mean sum of squares due to treatments were highly significant for all characters investigated. Among parents, the line ICGV-07262 and tester J-11 and CS-19 were registered as the best performers as they outperformed desirable *per se* performance for most of the yield and yield components together with stem rot resistance under sick plot and control condition. Hence, these lines and testers could be considered as a potential parent in hybridization for the improvement of groundnut productivity under sick plot and control conditions. The cross ICGV-07262 × TCGS-1862 recorded as superior cross for most of the yield and yield components and could be exploited in breeding programme to develop high pod yielding stem rot resistant genotypes in groundnut.

KEYWORDS: *Per se* performance, Pod yield, Stem rot resistance, Sick plot, Groundnut.

INTRODUCTION

Groundnut is well known important oilseed crop in the world as well as in India because of its economic importance. The seed is comprised of 40-54 per cent oil, 25-28 per cent protein and 18 per cent of carbohydrates in addition to minerals and vitamins including vitamin E, niacin, phosphorus, falcin, calcium, riboflavin, magnesium, zinc, iron, thiamine, and potassium (Jilbrin *et al.*, 2016).

Globally, it is cultivated in an area of 29.92 Mha with annual production of 55.30 Mt and productivity of 1851 kg ha⁻¹ (FAOSTAT, 2021). In India, groundnut covers an area of 60.9 lakh ha with a production of 10.21 Mt and productivity of 1676 kg ha⁻¹. In Andhra Pradesh, it is cultivated in an area of 8.69 lakh ha with a production of 7.74 Mt and productivity of 894 kg ha⁻¹ (Directorate of Economics and Statistics, GOI, 2021). Among the major groundnut growing states of India, Gujarat and Andhra Pradesh are ranking first and second, respectively in terms of area.

Among various biotic stresses limiting groundnut production, stem rot disease caused by soil-borne fungal pathogen *Sclerotium rolfsii* is predominant, accounting for yield loss to an extent of 10–25 per cent and even upto 80 per cent in severely infected fields annually

(Backman and Brenneman, 1997, Rakholia and Jadeja, 2010). Persistence of pathogen in the soil and wide range of host often limits the cultural and chemical control of this disease. The use of resistant varieties is the practical method for the control of stem rot disease. It is evident that stem rot disease has significant effect on yield loss (Puquer *et al.*, 2013). Hence, simultaneous evaluation of groundnut genotypes for pod yield as well as stem rot incidence (%) would be more reliable to develop resistant varieties as well as for their future use in resistance breeding programme.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out during *rabi*-2019 in sick plot and control condition maintained at Regional Agricultural Research station, Tirupati situated at an altitude of 182.9 m above mean sea level (MSL), 32.27°N latitude and 79.36°E longitude, geographically in southern agro climatic zone of Andhra Pradesh. In sick plot, the crop was artificially inoculated with *sclerotium* fungus multiplied in sorghum grains between inter rows followed by mulching with paddy straw to entire field after 30 DAS, 60 DAS and irrigation was given frequently through drip pipes to conserve moisture which aggravate the mycelium and aids in further multiplication while all the necessary recommended practices in control condition

*Corresponding author, E-mail: kolimigundlaamarnath.agri@gmail.com

were followed for maintenance of control condition. The material for the present investigation comprised of nine parents (5 Lines and 4 testers) and their F₁s generated through crossing these parents in a Line × Tester mating fashion were grown in a Randomized Block Design and replicated twice. Each entry was grown in two rows of 3 m length and the spacing adopted was 22.5 × 10 cm. Data was recorded in 5 randomly selected plants for pod yield and yield components whereas PDI at Maturity was recorded as per the procedure outlined by Ashok *et al.* (2004).

At the time of crop maturity, total number of plants per row and number of affected plants in the same row were counted as calculated in the following formula to obtain percent index in terms of percentage.

Per cent disease incidence (%) =

$$\frac{\text{Total number of plants in a row}}{\text{Number of affected plants in a row}} \times 100$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of Variance

Twenty F₁ cross combinations generated from nine parents (Five lines and Four testers) were together evaluated for 23 yield, yield components and stem rot incidence during *rabi*, 2019 under sick plot and control condition. The analysis of variance for yield, yield components and stem rot resistance was presented in the Table 1.

The analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences among the parents and F₁ crosses for all the 23 characters justified the presence of considerable amount of genetic variation for all the traits examined in experimental material comprised of parents and F₁s.

Mean Performance of Parents and F₁ Crosses

The assessment of the mean performance of genotypes is a key to decide the real field performance. Hence, critical examination of *per se* performance is the prime factor that determines the fate of breeding program. The mean performance of nine parents (five lines and four testers) and 20 F₁ crosses for yield, yield components and stem rot resistance was furnished in the Table 2. The graph depicting the mean performance of the parents and crosses for pod yield plant⁻¹ (g) and PDI at maturity.

The results revealed that line ICGV-91114 attained early flowering (27.50 days) and maturity (93.50 days) in sick plot condition while Narayani was early in flower (28.00 days) and maturity (99.00 days) in control condition, respectively. The tester TCGS-2149 attained early flowering (31.50 days) in sick plot and early maturity (113.00 days) in control condition. Similarly CS-19 was early in flowering (25.50 days) in control condition and attained early maturity (107.50 days) in sick plot condition. Thus, these parents could be exploited to develop short duration varieties with high pod advantage, thereby escaping from drought.

The lines *viz.*, Kadiri-6 in sick plot condition (44.75) and ICGV-07262 in control condition (45.25) recorded higher SCMR at 60 DAS. The line, ICGV-07262 registered desirable least SLA at 60 DAS in sick plot condition (81.02 cm² g⁻¹) and in control condition (205.69 cm² g⁻¹). Similarly, TAG-24 in sick plot (66.70%) and 91114 (66.29%) in control condition displayed higher mean performance for harvest index. The tester J-11 displayed high SCMR at 60 DAS in sick plot (44.35) and CS-19 in control condition (43.40) while TCGS-1862 recorded desirable lower SLA at 60 DAS in both sick plot (118.89 cm² g⁻¹) and control condition (255.56 cm² g⁻¹). High mean performance for harvest index was displayed in TCGS-1862 (66.55%) in sick plot condition and J-11 in control condition (65.11%). Therefore, these lines and testers could be exploited in breeding programme to develop high pod yielding, water use efficient genotypes.

For plant height, line TAG-24 in sick plot condition (30 cm) and Narayani in control condition (16.25 cm) in control condition while the tester J-11 in sick plot condition (37.60 cm) and TCGS-1862 in control condition (19.30 cm) were identified as shortest genotypes.

In sick plot, the line Kadiri-6 recorded higher *per se* performance for number of pegs plant⁻¹ (21.55), number of pods plant⁻¹ (20.80), number of mature pods plant⁻¹ (18.20), sound mature kernel % (80.85) and dry haulm weight plant⁻¹ (10.75 g) while it recorded higher mean performance for 100 kernel weight (59.85 g) and shelling per cent (85.66%) in control condition. Similarly, Narayani is identified as the best line as it registered desirable *per se* performance for plant height (41.50 cm), number of immature pods plant⁻¹ (1.20) and protein content (26.60%) in sick plot condition while it recorded desirable mean for plant height (16.25 cm) and number of pegs plant⁻¹ (9.95) in control condition. Interestingly,

Table 1. Analysis of variance for yield, yield components and stem rot resistance in groundnut

S. No.	Character	Mean sum of squares					
		Replications (df : 1)		Treatments (df : 28)		Error (df : 28)	
	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	
1.	Days to 50% flowering	4.41	0.84	5.85**	8.34**	1.24	2.52
2.	Days to maturity	6.90	41.40	44.41**	38.44**	3.83	10.33
3.	SCMR at 60 DAS	3.98	0.40	14.41**	9.05**	11.74	0.32
4.	SLA at 60 DAS (cm ² g ⁻¹)	18.07	368.47	847.98**	2630.47**	58.08	114.04
5.	Harvest index (%)	0.14	4.76	49.83**	49.77**	0.69	1.76
6.	Plant height (cm)	6.48	7.23	43.25**	20.15**	3.43	5.92
7.	Number of primary branches plant ⁻¹	0.33	0.30	1.63**	1.52**	0.31	0.44
8.	Number of secondary branches plant ⁻¹	0.04	0.14	2.14**	0.64**	0.17	0.13
9.	Number of flowers plant ⁻¹ from 25 to 50 DAS	1.40	2.91	289.50**	312.00**	4.58	6.77
10.	Number of pegs plant ⁻¹	1.31	0.05	31.48**	12.06**	5.02	0.38
11.	Number of pods plant ⁻¹	1.37	0.00	24.27**	34.73**	0.43	0.68
12.	Number of immature pods plant ⁻¹	0.07	0.02	2.23**	2.05**	0.06	0.09
13.	Number of mature pods plant ⁻¹	0.83	0.01	24.60**	38.95**	0.40	0.70
14.	100 pod weight	73.86	2.83	485.92**	91.68**	57.85	1.71
15.	100 kernel weight	2.00	2.37	55.19**	61.02**	3.12	0.87
16.	Sound mature kernel (%)	5.08	0.13	67.97**	23.96**	1.29	0.54
17.	Shelling per cent	0.05	25.62	268.41**	180.05**	20.79	15.35
18.	Dry haulm weight plant ⁻¹	0.22	0.22	21.36**	23.64**	0.07	0.31
19.	Pod yield plant ⁻¹	2.89	0.39	16.84**	13.68**	0.22	0.15
20.	Kernel yield plant ⁻¹	0.04	0.33	29.53**	18.37**	0.34	0.36
21.	Oil content (%)	0.31	0.38	0.23**	0.78**	0.08	0.16
22.	Protein content (%)	0.28	1.06	0.74**	2.21**	0.18	0.29
23.	Per cent disease incidence (%) at maturity	74.08	5.89	1310.10**	17.32**	27.97	3.25

TAG-24 excelled in shelling percent (81.53%) in sick plot condition.

The next superior line ICGV-07262 recorded more mean performance for number of flowers plant⁻¹ (114.50), 100 kernel weight (49.21 g), pod yield plant⁻¹ (18.45 g), kernel yield plant⁻¹ (12.90 g) and oil content (48.40%) in sick plot condition while the same line displayed high mean performance for number of flowers plant⁻¹ (119.00), number of pods plant⁻¹ (26.35), number of mature pods plant⁻¹ (24.25), 100 pod weight (126.33 g), SMK % (82.23%), dry haulm weight plant⁻¹ (12.14 g), pod yield plant⁻¹ (22.42 g), kernel yield plant⁻¹ (18.95 g), oil (49.10%) and protein content (27.75%) in control condition.

Line ICGV-91114 outperformed for number of primary branches plant⁻¹ (4.60), number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ (3.65) and 100 pod weight (139.33 g) in sick plot condition while it excelled for number of primary branches plant⁻¹ (6.30), number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ (2.45) and number of immature pods plant⁻¹ (1.75) in desirable direction in control condition.

Among testers, CS-19 recorded higher mean performance for the traits *viz.*, number of flowers plant⁻¹ (114.50), number of pegs plant⁻¹ (22.09), 100 pod weight (129.44 g), dry haulm weight plant⁻¹ (8.90 g) and kernel yield plant⁻¹ (14.83 g) in sick plot condition while the same tester outperformed for plant height (22.50 cm), number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ (2.00), number of flowers plant⁻¹ (117.50), 100 kernel weight (55.10 g), shelling percent (91.79%), dry haulm weight plant⁻¹ (12.26 g) and kernel yield plant⁻¹ (18.70 g) in control condition.

Moreover, the tester TCGS-2149 displayed high mean performance for number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ (3.20), number of pods plant⁻¹ (23.20), number of immature pods plant⁻¹ (1.50), number of mature pods plant⁻¹ (21.70) and 100 kernel weight (55.32 g) in sick plot condition while it outperformed for number of pegs plant⁻¹ (10.85), sound mature kernel % (81.49), oil (48.35%) and protein content (26.85%) in control condition, respectively.

In contrary, J-11 exhibited desirable mean performance for SCMR at 60 DAS (44.35), plant height (37.60 cm), sound mature kernel % (81.80%), shelling per cent (92.66%) and oil content (48.10%) in sick plot condition and it excelled for pod yield plant⁻¹ (21.75 g)

in control condition.

The tester TCGS-1862 also registered higher mean performance for harvest index (66.55%), pod yield plant⁻¹ (17.30 g) and protein content (26.25%) whereas in control condition, it exceeded in number of primary branches plant⁻¹ (6.70), number of pods plant⁻¹ (23.30), number of mature pods plant⁻¹ (21.95) and 100 pod weight (125.09 g).

Among F₁ crosses, ICGV-91114 × TCGS-2149 attained early flowering (27.00 days) and maturity (99.50 days) in sick plot condition. In contrary, the crosses *viz.*, Kadiri-6 × TCGS-2149 and Narayani × CS-19 flowered earlier (27.50 days) while Narayani x J-11 matured early (101.50 days) in control condition. Besides, Narayani × J-11 is found to record more number of pegs plant⁻¹ (27.57) in sick plot condition while it also registered high SCMR at 60 DAS (47.80) and oil content (49.50%) in control condition.

On the other hand, ICGV-07262 × TCGS-2149 outperformed in SCMR at 60 DAS (47.00) and shelling per cent (94.20%) in sick plot condition while the same cross exceeded in mean performance for number of pods plant⁻¹ (33.20), number of mature pods plant⁻¹ (30.65) and SMK% (85.60) in control condition.

Another best cross, Kadiri-6 × CS-19 displayed higher mean performance for number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ (4.10), number of immature pods plant⁻¹ (1.09), number of mature pods plant⁻¹ (22.21) and recorded desirable lowest PDI at maturity (11.82%) in sick plot condition. The same cross also outperformed for the traits *viz.*, number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ (2.90), number of pegs plant⁻¹ (15.45), 100 pod weight (136.65 g), 100 kernel weight (61.35 g) and protein content (27.40%) in control condition.

However, the cross ICGV-07262 × TCGS-1862 reported higher mean performance for number of primary branches plant⁻¹ (6.50), number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ (1.00), number of pods plant⁻¹ (24.76), sound mature kernel % (86.30%), dry haulm weight plant⁻¹ (18.40 g), pod yield plant⁻¹ (22.90 g) and kernel yield plant⁻¹ (22.80 g) in sick plot condition. In addition, the same cross registered higher mean performance for dry haulm weight plant⁻¹ (19.60 g), pod yield plant⁻¹ (24.29 g) and kernel yield plant⁻¹ (21.35 g) in control condition.

Table 2. Mean performance of nine parents and 20 F₁ crosses for yield, yield components and stem rot resistance in groundnut

Genotypes	Days to 50% flowering		Days to maturity		SCMR at 60 DAS		SLA at 60 DAS (cm ² g ⁻¹)		Harvest index (%)	
	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control
Lines										
Kadiri-6	31.50	33.00	111.00	105.00	44.75	41.50	138.67	264.79	60.49	64.41
Narayani	30.50	28.00	108.50	99.00	42.90	43.05	136.57	216.90	65.00	65.13
TAG-24	32.00	33.00	113.00	109.00	41.65	40.25	123.72	252.96	66.70	65.83
ICGV-07262	28.50	31.00	116.50	112.00	42.30	45.25	81.02	205.69	64.39	64.87
ICGV-91114	27.50	28.50	93.50	112.00	41.20	39.50	123.79	256.05	63.15	66.29
Mean of Lines	30.00	30.70	108.50	107.40	42.56	41.91	120.75	239.28	63.95	65.31
Testers										
TCGS-1862	34.50	30.00	110.00	119.00	42.10	41.90	118.89	255.56	66.55	61.45
TCGS-2149	31.50	27.00	112.00	113.00	40.75	41.40	137.92	301.35	63.73	63.75
J-11	32.00	30.00	111.50	113.50	44.35	42.00	154.62	271.95	65.31	65.11
CS-19	33.00	25.50	107.50	115.00	42.65	43.40	139.49	305.51	64.69	62.44
Mean of Testers	32.75	28.13	110.25	115.13	42.46	42.18	137.73	283.59	65.07	63.19
F₁ Crosses										
Kadiri-6 x TCGS-1862	31.50	29.00	109.00	111.00	44.15	41.60	111.84	204.45	57.65	68.13
Kadiri-6 x TCGS-2149	30.50	27.50	111.00	111.50	44.46	40.10	106.75	191.73	58.60	64.83
Kadiri-6 x J-11	32.00	30.00	106.00	112.50	44.55	40.20	124.41	221.84	55.20	66.15
Kadiri-6 x CS-19	32.00	31.50	109.50	113.00	46.15	44.85	178.74	211.00	56.43	56.45
Narayani x TCGS-1862	30.50	29.50	110.00	106.00	43.20	42.05	106.21	215.12	51.50	68.48
Narayani x TCGS-2149	31.00	28.50	107.50	106.00	35.43	41.40	104.20	156.06	60.70	69.59
Narayani x J-11	30.00	28.00	110.50	101.50	45.10	47.80	124.59	203.39	57.03	58.09
Narayani x CS-19	31.50	27.50	108.00	107.50	40.35	42.55	118.99	209.16	54.95	69.20
TAG-24 x TCGS-1862	32.50	30.50	110.50	107.50	41.35	40.35	123.67	200.12	65.85	66.57
TAG-24 x TCGS-2149	32.00	28.00	106.00	109.50	40.90	41.10	111.14	196.00	66.77	71.85
TAG-24 x J-11	32.00	29.00	108.50	108.50	35.65	42.15	89.97	161.40	61.23	67.91
TAG-24 x CS-19	31.00	29.00	110.50	109.50	40.74	42.75	137.65	204.00	54.92	70.51
ICGV-07262 x TCGS-1862	31.50	28.50	113.50	111.50	46.35	47.05	131.65	188.62	55.45	55.35
ICGV-07262 x TCGS-2149	30.50	33.50	109.00	113.00	47.00	47.05	113.84	192.92	54.95	55.72
ICGV-07262 x J-11	31.00	33.50	111.50	109.50	42.85	42.35	113.89	205.29	48.69	64.87
ICGV-07262 x CS-19	32.00	29.50	109.00	115.50	42.75	41.90	83.25	184.90	56.69	72.69
ICGV-91114 x TCGS-1862	28.50	31.50	99.50	113.50	43.70	41.65	101.19	211.38	56.57	72.59
ICGV-91114 x TCGS-2149	27.00	31.50	99.50	115.00	40.25	42.45	109.74	217.06	62.22	66.28
ICGV-91114 x J-11	28.00	31.50	102.00	112.00	40.10	40.35	135.37	193.05	64.98	62.78
ICGV-91114 x CS-19	29.00	31.00	105.50	104.00	42.75	41.75	111.62	203.55	57.34	55.00
Mean of F₁ crosses	30.70	29.93	107.83	109.90	42.39	42.57	116.93	198.55	57.88	65.15
Max. value	32.50	33.50	113.50	115.50	47.00	47.80	178.84	221.84	66.77	72.69
Min. value	27.00	27.50	99.50	101.50	35.43	40.10	83.25	156.06	48.69	55.00
General mean	30.86	29.81	108.28	110.19	42.43	42.40	120.46	217.30	59.92	64.90
CD	2.27	3.25	4.00	6.58	2.27	1.15	15.62	21.89	1.70	2.72
SEM	0.78	1.12	1.38	2.27	0.78	0.39	5.38	7.55	0.58	0.93
SED	1.11	1.58	1.95	3.21	1.10	0.56	7.62	10.67	0.83	1.32
CV	30.86	5.33	1.81	2.92	1.33	4.91	6.33	1.39	2.04	2.04

Cont..

Assessment of parents in groundnut

Table 2. Cont...

Genotypes	Plant height (cm)		No. of primary branches plant ⁻¹		No. of secondary branches plant ⁻¹		No. of flowers plant ⁻¹ from 25 to 50 DAS		No. of pegs plant ⁻¹	
	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control
Lines										
Kadiri-6	41.20	18.95	4.50	4.10	1.50	0.90	85.00	88.00	21.55	9.45
Narayani	41.50	16.25	4.20	5.80	3.40	2.20	86.00	89.50	17.10	9.95
TAG-24	30.00	18.30	3.15	5.70	3.60	2.40	84.50	85.00	15.80	8.29
ICGV-07262	37.30	21.05	3.35	4.65	3.25	1.75	114.50	119.00	18.05	9.25
ICGV-91114	36.35	19.50	4.60	6.30	3.65	2.45	113.00	117.00	17.10	7.55
Mean of Lines	37.27	18.81	3.96	5.31	3.08	1.94	96.60	99.70	17.92	8.90
Testers										
TCGS-1862	39.75	19.30	4.90	6.70	1.45	0.25	107.5	108.50	19.34	10.15
TCGS-2149	39.70	22.10	3.60	6.40	3.20	1.90	108.00	115.00	18.90	10.85
J-11	37.60	21.80	4.30	5.65	2.20	1.00	110.50	115.50	21.75	9.45
CS-19	39.20	22.50	5.50	4.55	3.20	2.00	114.5	117.50	22.09	10.2
Mean of Testers	39.06	21.43	4.58	5.83	2.51	1.29	110.13	114.13	20.52	10.16
F₁ Crosses										
Kadiri-6 × TCGS-1862	49.30	29.40	4.60	5.30	1.50	2.00	95.00	101.50	22.94	8.60
Kadiri-6 × TCGS-2149	40.10	22.30	4.50	5.10	1.50	1.75	107.00	112.50	16.78	7.93
Kadiri-6 × J-11	49.00	23.80	3.35	4.60	3.20	2.00	104.50	109.50	25.19	8.74
Kadiri-6 × CS-19	45.40	21.90	3.30	6.40	4.10	2.90	114.00	114.50	15.00	15.45
Narayani × TCGS-1862	47.20	22.60	4.30	5.00	3.60	2.40	115.50	120.00	17.10	9.73
Narayani × TCGS-2149	38.40	19.70	3.80	4.70	3.90	2.05	118.00	120.50	20.25	8.03
Narayani × J-11	43.25	19.70	4.20	4.50	1.50	1.50	122.00	125.50	27.57	15.00
Narayani × CS-19	40.30	23.80	4.85	4.40	1.50	1.85	117.50	120.00	26.70	8.01
TAG-24 × TCGS-1862	35.30	22.70	5.00	5.30	1.00	1.25	98.00	100.00	21.60	7.61
TAG-24 × TCGS-2149	39.60	23.00	3.70	4.50	3.00	1.55	101.00	99.00	19.95	7.85
TAG-24 × J-11	42.60	24.50	3.10	4.45	2.00	1.50	96.00	100.00	13.70	8.65
TAG-24 × CS-19	41.10	24.60	4.60	4.65	1.50	1.20	103.00	103.00	21.91	8.12
ICGV-07262 × TCGS-1862	48.60	24.90	6.50	4.15	1.00	1.50	118.00	119.00	25.45	14.55
ICGV-07262 × TCGS-2149	46.80	26.50	6.30	3.80	1.50	1.50	122.50	126.50	22.60	15.05
ICGV-07262 × J-11	41.80	24.10	4.45	3.85	2.00	1.00	117.50	122.00	22.90	7.65
ICGV-07262 × CS-19	46.40	23.00	5.55	4.20	1.00	1.15	126.50	129.50	27.50	8.45
ICGV-91114 × TCGS-1862	37.45	14.30	3.85	6.30	1.50	1.50	125.00	127.00	20.90	7.95
ICGV-91114 × TCGS-2149	41.80	16.60	5.80	5.45	1.50	1.10	122.50	128.00	25.70	7.24
ICGV-91114 × J-11	35.20	20.20	3.65	6.50	1.50	1.10	121.50	125.50	16.18	7.58
ICGV-91114 × CS-19	36.31	20.50	3.90	4.40	1.00	1.50	116.50	121.00	15.09	7.14
Mean of F₁ crosses	42.30	22.41	4.47	4.88	1.97	1.62	113.10	116.23	21.25	9.47
Max. value	49.30	29.40	6.50	4.10	2.90	2.90	126.50	129.50	27.57	15.45
Min. value	35.20	14.30	3.10	3.80	1.00	1.00	95.00	99.00	13.70	7.14
General mean	40.98	21.65	4.39	5.08	2.23	1.63	109.84	113.09	20.57	9.46
CD	3.79	4.98	1.13	1.36	0.87	0.76	4.36	5.33	4.59	1.27
SEm	1.30	2.43	0.39	0.46	0.30	0.26	1.51	1.84	1.58	0.43
SED	1.85	1.72	0.55	0.66	0.43	0.37	2.13	2.60	2.24	0.61
CV	4.52	11.24	12.61	13.06	15.33	17.85	1.95	2.30	10.89	6.55

Cont...

Table 2. Cont..

Genotypes	No. of pods plant ⁻¹		No. of immature pods plant ⁻¹		No. of matured pods plant ⁻¹		Hundred pod weight (g)		Hundred kernel weight (g)	
	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control
Lines										
Kadiri-6	20.80	25.65	2.60	3.95	18.20	21.70	128.22	122.07	48.98	59.85
Narayani	17.60	22.80	1.20	3.05	16.40	19.75	104.24	124.14	43.93	52.20
TAG-24	16.15	18.80	1.45	2.80	14.70	16.00	103.58	114.77	46.17	35.06
ICGV-07262	16.30	26.35	1.90	2.10	14.40	24.25	106.56	126.33	49.21	56.00
ICGV-91114	17.10	19.00	1.30	1.75	15.80	17.25	139.33	123.56	48.20	46.20
Mean of Lines	17.59	22.52	1.69	2.73	15.90	19.79	116.39	122.17	47.30	49.86
Testers										
TCGS-1862	22.65	23.30	1.90	1.35	20.75	21.95	99.67	125.09	51.53	51.00
TCGS-2149	23.20	20.20	1.50	1.60	21.70	18.60	84.83	122.41	55.32	49.80
J-11	19.40	19.85	1.80	1.90	17.60	17.95	91.61	123.34	54.14	49.95
CS-19	20.40	22.35	2.35	4.25	18.05	18.10	129.44	124.56	53.58	55.10
Mean of Testers	21.41	21.43	1.89	2.28	19.53	19.15	101.39	123.85	53.64	51.46
F₁ Crosses										
Kadiri-6 × TCGS-1862	18.50	21.15	3.25	2.10	15.25	19.05	102.64	117.06	53.87	49.62
Kadiri-6 × TCGS-2149	16.85	21.05	3.35	3.20	13.50	17.85	95.91	115.58	41.97	47.35
Kadiri-6 × J-11	16.40	22.45	2.35	3.25	14.05	19.20	134.22	116.68	42.64	47.94
Kadiri-6 × CS-19	23.30	27.90	1.09	2.85	22.21	25.05	107.87	136.65	52.48	61.35
Narayani × TCGS-1862	14.50	22.10	1.70	2.50	12.80	19.60	118.08	120.67	52.36	49.35
Narayani × TCGS-2149	13.75	21.60	2.95	2.15	10.80	19.45	111.63	119.41	45.05	47.25
Narayani × J-11	23.35	30.40	3.30	2.40	20.05	28.00	104.52	136.00	52.85	57.10
Narayani × CS-19	16.75	17.50	4.30	1.80	12.45	15.70	98.62	128.63	43.30	52.85
TAG-24 × TCGS-1862	16.60	22.80	1.25	1.90	15.35	20.90	92.03	113.35	40.52	46.48
TAG-24 × TCGS-2149	16.00	19.50	1.98	2.10	14.02	17.40	100.27	119.88	39.50	45.55
TAG-24 × J-11	14.10	18.30	2.10	2.05	12.00	16.25	97.83	129.59	42.42	51.90
TAG-24 × CS-19	16.30	20.65	2.35	1.50	13.95	19.15	114.70	118.54	42.35	48.69
ICGV-07262 × TCGS-1862	24.70	29.20	4.60	2.55	20.10	26.65	103.53	133.15	53.80	58.55
ICGV-07262 × TCGS-2149	24.30	33.20	3.45	2.55	20.85	30.65	106.05	134.35	53.40	60.90
ICGV-07262 × J-11	17.90	21.70	4.40	2.25	13.50	19.45	135.87	121.27	40.70	52.60
ICGV-07262 × CS-19	16.30	18.00	4.10	5.25	12.20	12.75	91.01	114.35	40.79	48.60
ICGV-91114 × TCGS-1862	16.70	15.30	2.70	4.95	14.00	10.35	105.47	113.96	48.48	48.40
ICGV-91114 × TCGS-2149	14.95	17.35	3.90	2.10	11.05	15.25	122.78	114.25	40.31	46.40
ICGV-91114 × J-11	12.65	20.60	2.50	2.40	10.15	18.20	138.85	117.52	44.97	51.72
ICGV-91114 × CS-19	13.05	18.45	1.40	4.25	11.65	14.20	127.51	124.70	43.93	46.95
Mean of F₁ crosses	17.35	21.96	2.85	2.71	14.50	19.26	110.47	122.28	45.78	50.98
Max. value	24.70	33.20	4.60	5.25	22.21	30.65	138.85	136.65	53.87	61.35
Min. value	12.65	15.30	1.09	1.50	10.15	10.35	91.01	113.35	39.50	45.55
General mean	17.95	21.98	2.52	2.65	15.43	19.33	110.23	122.48	47.13	50.85
CD	1.34	1.69	0.50	0.60	1.29	1.71	15.59	2.68	3.62	1.91
SEm	0.46	0.58	0.17	0.20	0.44	0.59	5.37	0.92	1.24	0.65
SED	0.65	0.82	0.24	0.29	0.63	0.83	7.60	1.30	1.76	0.93
CV	3.66	3.75	9.85	11.13	4.11	4.34	6.90	1.07	3.75	1.84

Cont...

Assessment of parents in groundnut

Table 2. Cont...

Genotypes	Sound mature kernel (%)		Shelling per cent		Dry haulm weight plant ⁻¹ (g)		Pod yield plant ⁻¹ (g)		Kernel yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	
	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control	Sick	Control
Lines										
Kadiri-6	80.85	80.53	74.77	85.66	10.75	11.23	16.46	20.32	12.30	17.41
Narayani	74.79	82.15	73.51	78.67	9.15	11.40	17.00	21.29	12.50	16.75
TAG-24	69.98	69.31	81.53	73.03	6.19	9.55	12.39	18.38	10.08	13.40
ICGV-07262	70.70	82.23	69.96	84.57	10.20	12.14	18.45	22.42	12.90	18.95
ICGV-91114	76.50	74.42	78.20	70.76	7.70	8.89	13.19	17.42	10.32	12.32
Mean of Lines	74.56	77.73	75.59	78.54	8.80	10.64	15.50	19.97	11.62	15.77
Testers										
TCGS-1862	80.88	79.38	84.53	79.93	8.70	11.55	17.30	18.41	14.61	14.72
TCGS-2149	79.56	81.49	71.63	89.70	8.65	11.57	15.20	20.35	10.89	18.25
J-11	81.80	81.40	92.66	79.09	7.85	11.66	14.80	21.75	13.84	17.20
CS-19	80.90	81.45	87.91	91.79	8.90	12.26	16.30	20.38	14.83	18.70
Mean of Testers	80.79	80.93	84.18	85.13	8.53	11.76	15.90	20.22	13.54	17.22
F₁ Crosses										
Kadiri-6 × TCGS-1862	70.90	78.15	49.93	85.43	11.19	8.70	15.22	18.59	7.60	15.87
Kadiri-6 × TCGS-2149	70.13	75.38	41.62	75.60	11.38	10.50	16.10	19.33	6.70	14.61
Kadiri-6 × J-11	73.28	77.56	83.88	92.87	12.58	8.95	15.50	17.49	13.01	16.23
Kadiri-6 × CS-19	85.10	82.55	87.93	92.90	16.50	17.15	21.40	22.23	18.75	20.65
Narayani × TCGS-1862	69.70	80.18	84.76	92.51	13.10	8.30	13.91	18.03	11.79	16.68
Narayani × TCGS-2149	73.90	77.61	75.31	74.74	8.15	8.58	12.58	19.64	9.45	14.68
Narayani × J-11	84.45	84.90	91.79	82.46	16.05	16.85	21.30	23.35	20.55	19.25
Narayani × CS-19	75.40	79.59	81.11	81.14	12.50	8.67	15.24	19.47	15.36	15.80
TAG-24 × TCGS-1862	71.16	77.04	70.72	89.04	7.45	8.22	14.35	16.34	10.15	14.55
TAG-24 × TCGS-2149	73.21	74.25	72.75	72.88	6.60	7.73	13.25	19.71	9.63	14.37
TAG-24 × J-11	72.41	80.00	75.39	86.68	8.74	7.56	13.79	15.98	10.40	13.85
TAG-24 × CS-19	71.06	78.31	82.32	63.78	11.69	8.06	14.24	19.21	11.72	12.25
ICGV-07262 × TCGS-1862	86.30	82.06	83.40	87.92	18.40	19.60	22.90	24.29	22.80	21.35
ICGV-07262 × TCGS-2149	85.40	85.60	94.20	91.10	17.71	18.24	21.60	22.95	20.35	20.90
ICGV-07262 × J-11	74.37	75.72	66.03	94.06	14.70	8.97	13.95	16.54	9.22	15.56
ICGV-07262 × CS-19	70.35	78.93	74.39	92.72	10.47	5.78	13.70	15.38	10.19	14.26
ICGV-91114 × TCGS-1862	72.25	78.00	71.15	69.26	9.56	6.11	12.44	16.16	12.30	11.20
ICGV-91114 × TCGS-2149	68.65	79.39	77.51	61.47	10.05	9.99	16.55	19.62	12.83	12.05
ICGV-91114 × J-11	69.39	74.89	90.49	75.74	8.30	9.74	15.40	16.39	13.93	12.42
ICGV-91114 × CS-19	65.32	77.27	80.12	68.57	9.49	11.00	12.75	13.46	10.21	9.15
Mean of F₁ Crosses	74.14	78.87	76.74	81.54	11.73	10.44	15.81	18.71	12.85	15.28
Max. value	86.30	85.60	94.20	94.06	18.40	19.60	22.90	24.29	22.80	21.35
Min. value	65.32	74.89	41.62	61.47	6.60	5.78	12.44	13.46	6.70	9.15
General mean	75.13	78.95	77.57	81.52	10.78	10.65	15.77	19.13	12.73	15.63
CD	2.32	1.50	9.34	8.03	0.56	1.14	0.95	0.79	1.19	1.23
Sem	0.80	0.51	3.22	2.77	0.19	0.39	0.32	0.27	0.41	0.42
SED	1.13	0.73	4.55	3.91	0.27	0.55	0.46	0.38	0.58	0.60
CV	1.51	0.93	5.88	4.81	2.54	5.23	2.96	2.02	4.57	3.85

Cont..

Table 2. Cont...

Genotypes	Oil content (%)		Protein content (%)		Percent disease incidence (%) at maturity	Control
	Sick	Control	Sick	Control		
Lines						
Kadiri-6	47.90	47.85	26.30	24.80	79.55	7.28
Narayani	47.40	49.10	26.60	26.80	72.08	10.91
TAG-24	48.33	48.25	26.20	26.37	66.37	1.79
ICGV-07262	48.40	48.70	25.65	27.75	65.91	0.00
ICGV-91114	47.90	48.75	25.90	25.90	84.39	7.14
Mean of Lines	47.99	48.53	26.13	26.26	73.66	5.42
Testers						
TCGS-1862	48.10	48.30	26.25	25.65	13.64	0.00
TCGS-2149	48.10	48.35	26.20	26.85	9.09	0.00
J-11	48.10	48.30	26.00	25.10	7.05	0.00
CS-19	48.05	47.11	26.10	25.60	10.87	0.00
Mean of Testers	48.09	48.02	26.14	25.80	10.16	0.00
F₁ Crosses						
Kadiri-6 × TCGS-1862	48.15	48.10	26.40	26.10	27.92	1.86
Kadiri-6 × TCGS-2149	47.25	47.50	25.80	25.80	23.27	5.49
Kadiri-6 × J-11	48.50	48.20	24.55	24.80	21.59	3.58
Kadiri-6 × CS-19	47.75	48.25	25.55	27.40	11.82	0.00
Narayani × TCGS-1862	48.25	48.40	26.30	27.00	48.92	5.36
Narayani × TCGS-2149	47.90	47.73	26.85	26.20	64.95	3.57
Narayani × J-11	48.05	49.50	24.90	25.40	17.50	0.00
Narayani × CS-19	47.40	48.21	26.60	25.85	26.37	0.00
TAG-24 × TCGS-1862	48.10	48.00	25.80	25.25	72.50	0.00
TAG-24 × TCGS-2149	48.30	48.20	26.00	26.30	65.91	5.36
TAG-24 × J-11	48.55	47.50	24.60	25.70	29.29	3.57
TAG-24 × CS-19	48.40	48.50	26.15	24.40	21.43	3.58
ICGV-07262 × TCGS-1862	48.10	48.55	25.85	27.10	17.50	0.00
ICGV-07262 × TCGS-2149	47.80	48.20	25.70	23.33	19.32	0.00
ICGV-07262 × J-11	47.90	48.35	25.60	25.00	12.26	5.36
ICGV-07262 × CS-19	48.05	47.95	26.55	24.30	56.82	0.00
ICGV-91114 × TCGS-1862	48.10	47.90	25.85	24.35	65.26	0.00
ICGV-91114 × TCGS-2149	47.25	47.55	25.70	24.90	22.50	1.79
ICGV-91114 × J-11	47.90	48.20	26.20	24.65	61.37	3.64
ICGV-91114 × CS-19	47.75	46.05	24.45	24.55	20.89	0.00
Mean of F₁ crosses	47.97	48.04	25.77	25.42	35.37	2.16
Max. value	48.55	49.50	26.85	27.40	11.82	5.49
Min. value	47.25	46.05	24.55	23.33	72.50	0.00
General mean	47.99	48.12	25.88	25.62	38.49	2.43
CD	0.57	0.82	0.87	1.10	10.84	3.69
Sem	0.19	0.28	0.30	0.38	3.73	1.27
SEd	0.28	0.40	0.42	0.53	5.28	1.80
CV	0.59	0.84	1.65	2.10	13.74	74.30

From the above premise, it was concluded that among lines, ICGV-07262 was identified as superior as it registered for most of the yield and yield attributing traits in both sick plot and control condition while the testers viz., CS-19 and J-11 in sick plot condition and CS-19 and TCGS-2149 in control condition were identified as best performers for yield and yield components. The cross ICGV-07262 x TCGS-1862 is identified as the outstanding cross for pod and kernel yield plant¹ in both sick plot and control condition.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wish to acknowledge Ministry of Tribal Affairs (MoTA) for granting National Fellowship for scheduled Tribes (NFST) to the first author in the form financial assistance during Doctoral research work.

LITERATURE CITED

- Ashok, J., Fakrudin, B., Paramesh, H., Kenchanagoudar, P.V and Kullaiswamy, B.Y. 2004. Identification of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) germplasm resistant to stem and pod rot caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Saac. *Indian Journal of Genetics*. 64(3): 247: 248.
- Backman, P.A and Brenneman, T.B. 1997. Stem rot. *Compendium of Peanut Diseases*. 36-37.
- Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare, Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, GOI. 2021. *Agricultural Statistics at a Glance*. 56-58.
- FAOSTAT, 2021. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. World Agricultural Production, Rome, Italy. <http://faostat.fao.org/>.
- Jibrin, M., Habu, S., Echekwu, C., Abdullahi, U and Usman, I. 2016. Phenotypic and genotypic variance and heritability estimates for oil content and other agronomic traits in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Studies*. 6(3): 29-32.
- Pujer, S.B., Kenchanagoudar, P.V., Gowda, M.V.C and Channayya, H. 2013. Genetic parameters and association analysis for resistance to *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Indian Journal of Plant Genetic Resources*. 26: 155-161.
- Rakholia, K.B and Jadeja, K.B. 2010. Varietal screening of groundnut against stem and pod rot (*Sclerotium rolfsii*). *International Journal of Plant Protection*. 3(2): 398-399.