

COST OF CULTIVATION AND PRICE SPREAD OF RICE IN COASTAL ANDHRA PRADESH

I. BHAVANI DEVI, M. SRIKALA*, T. ANANDA AND V.SUBRAMANYAM

Research Scholar, Department of Agricultural Economics, S.V. Agricultural College, Tirupati - 517 502.

Date of Receipt: 07-07-2015

ABSTRACT

Date of Acceptance: 21-07-2016

The total cost of cultivation of rice per ha in *Kharif* 2010-2011 worked out to $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 67,899 of which operational costs formed 70.20 per cent and the remaining fixed costs. Human labour was the major cost factor occupying 34.40 per cent of the total cost. Gross returns stood at $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 59,115, while the net returns were negative ($\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ -8,784). Net returns over operational costs were $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 11,452. The total cost of cultivation in *rabi* was $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 66,905. Total operational costs were found be $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 50,282 slightly higher as compared to *Kharif* season. Among fixed costs rental value of owned land was less than that of *Kharif* season. Gross returns were $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 69,263, while net returns stood at $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 2,358. Net returns over operational costs were $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 18,981. The retailer's margin ranged from 6.8 to 8.27 per cent of consumer's rupee. The share of producer in the consumer's rupee was 62.92 and 57.27 per unit respectively for old and new rice respectively.

KEYWORDS: Cost concepts, Cost of Cultivation, Net returns, Marketing Channels, Price spread

INTRODUCTION

Rice is the most important and extensively grown food crop in the world. Rice is most important stable food of about 65 per cent of the population. It constitutes about 43 per cent of the total foodgrain production and 46 per cent of the total cereal production in the country. Green revolution has been most effective not only in expanding area under this crop but also in increasing its production and productivity. Contributing consistently to around 45 per cent of India's cereal production, rice continues to hold the key factor to sustained food security as well as food sufficiency in the country. It continues to play vital role in the country's export and contributes nearly 25 per cent of total agricultural export from the country (Singh et al., 2005). The present study aims at studying the economies of rice cultivation and price spread in coastal Andhra Pradesh. The present study aims at studying the economics of rice cultivation and price spread in coastal Andhra Pradesh.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in southern coastal districts of Andhra Pradesh *viz.*, Krishna and Guntur districts. One mandal in each district with higher area under paddy was purposively selected. Following the same criterion two villages from each mandal were again purposively selected, 100 paddy farmers were randomly selected for the study. For studying the price spread 5 wholesalers/ processors and the same number of retailers were randomly selected.

In Krishna, Guntur and Prakasam, 60 farmers were randomly selected for the study. The data were collected for the year 2010-11 using a selected schedule.

The cost concepts include $Cost A_1$, $Cost A_2$, $Cost B_1$, Cost B_2 , Cost C_1 , Cost C_2 and Cost C_3 have been worked out in the study.

Cost A_1 = All actual expenses in cash and kind incurred in production

 $Cost A_2 = Cost A_1 + Rent paid for leased in land$

 $Cost B_1 = Cost A_1 + Interest \ on \ value \ of \ owned \ capital \\ assets$

Cost $B_2 = Cost B_1 + Rental value of owned land and rent paid for leased in land$

Cost $C_1 = \text{Cost } B_1 + \text{Imputed value of family labour}$

Cost $C_2 = \text{Cost } B_2 + \text{Imputed value of family labour}$

Cost C₃= Cost C₂ + 10 per cent of Cost C₂

^{*}Corresponding author, E-mail: srikalamedikondu@gmail.com

Table 1. Cost of cultivation rice in Andhra Pradesh (2010-2011)

Doutionlose		Kh auif	(₹ / ha Pabi
Particulars		Kharif	Rabi
Nursery Management Cost		3,000	3,625
TT 11		(4.42)	(5.42)
Human labour	Mandays	160	175
	Charges (₹)	23,360	24,402
		(34.40)	(36.46)
Tractor power (hrs)	Plough Cultivator	16	30
	Charges(₹)	6,000	6,500
		(8.84)	(9.72)
Bullock labour	(Pairdays)	8	14
	Charges(₹)	2,900	3,500
		(4.27)	(5.23)
Seed	Kg	55	60
	Value(₹)	1,190	1,680
		(1.75)	(2.51)
FYM	Tonnes	3.0	2.0
	Value	800	800
		(1.18)	(1.19)
Fertilizers	Qty (kg) N	96	105
	Р	109	132
	Κ	111	150
	Value (₹) N	900	1,200
		(1.33)	(1.79)
	Р	1,450	2,000
		(2.14)	(2.98)
	Κ	1,280	1,350
		(1.88)	(2.01)
	Others	1,170	1,560
		(1.72)	(2.33)
Insecticides	₹	3,000	1,500
		(4.42)	(2.24)
Irrigation charges	₹	1,225	700
88		(1.80)	(1.10)
Interest on working capital	₹	1,388	1,465
		(2.05)	(2.18)
Total operational costs	₹	47,663	50,282
	× ×	(70.20)	(75.16)
Land revenue	₹	310	310
Land Tevenue	× ×	(0.45)	(0.46)
Depreciation	₹	375	375
Depreciation	X	(0.55)	(0.56)
Rental value of owned land	₹	19,238	· · ·
	X	(28.33)	15,625 (23.35)
Interact on fixed conital	₹		
Interest on fixed capital	7	313	313
Total fixed costs Total costs	a	(0.46)	(0.47)
	₹	20,236	16,623
	-	(29.80)	(24.84)
	₹	67,899	66,905
		(100)	(100)

Figures in parentheses indicate percentages to total costs

			(₹ / ha)
Particulars		Kharif	Rabi
Cost concepts			
$\operatorname{Cost} A_1$	₹	23,798	39,986
Cost A ₂	₹	23,798	39,986
$Cost B_1$	₹	24,111	40,299
Cost B ₂	₹	42,726	55,301
Cost C ₁	₹	34,623.1	51,279.9
Cost C ₂	₹	53,238.1	66,281.9
		(78.40)	(99.06)
Cost C ₃	₹	67,899	66,905
		(100)	(100)
Returns			
Main Product	Qtls	55	65.75
Byproduct	₹	4,500	4,500
Value	Price/qtl	993	985
Gross returns	₹	59,115	69,263
Net returns	₹	-8,784	2,358
Net returns over operational costs	₹	11,452	18,981

Figures in parentheses indicate percentages to total costs

Producer's share in consumer's rupee (PS)

It is the price received by the producer expressed as a percentage of the retail price.

 $Ps = (P_F/P_c) X 100$

whereas,

 P_F = Price received by the producer

 $P_c =$ price paid by the consumer

To study the marketing aspects, 20 traders were randomly selected.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cost of Cultivation of Rice in Andhra Pradesh

The profitability of any enterprise depends upon costs and returns. Generally, in any economic study total costs are discussed under two heads *viz.*, variable costs and fixed costs. In general, variable costs alone are reckoned to be the cost of cultivation by the farming community ignoring the fixed costs. The profit and loss too are worked out accordingly.

But in economic analysis of any business enterprise, the fixed costs are also taken into account to arrive at total costs and thereby to compute the profits. Variable costs include expenses on labour employed to perform different cultural practices and also expenses incurred on material inputs like seeds, FYM, fertilizers, plant protection chemicals etc. The fixed costs are depreciation on working assets, interest on fixed capital, rent on land and land revenue. The particulars of cost of cultivation of rice are presented in Table 1.

The cost of cultivation represents the average costs of rice farmers of Guntur and Krishna districts which is presented in Table-1.

Kharif: The total cost of cultivation of rice per ha in *Kharif* 2010-2011 was ₹ 67,899 of which operational costs formed 70.20 per cent and the remaining fixed costs. Human labour was the major cost factor occupying 34.40 per cent of the total cost.

Rabi: The total cost of cultivation in *rabi* was ₹ 66,905. Total operational costs were found be ₹ 50,282 slightly higher as compared to *Kharif* season. Among fixed costs rental value of owned land was less than that of *Kharif* season.

Cost concepts and returns in rice production

The cost of cultivation of sugarcane also dealt by adopting the cost concepts used in farm management studies *viz.*, Cost A_1 , Cost A_2 , Cost B and Cost C. The

Table 3. Price spread of rice in local market in Andhra Pradesh

		(x / qu)
Particulars	Amount (Old)	Amount (New)
Producer's sale price/Processor's purchase price	1325	1060
1 1 1	(62.92)	(57.27)
Cost incurred by processor	141	141
· ·	(6.69)	(7.61)
Loading and Unloading	6.5	6.5
	(0.31)	(0.35)
Transportation	25	25
•	(1.19)	(1.35)
Weighment	1.5	1.5
-	(0.07)	(0.08)
Processing charges	75	75
	(3.56)	(4.05)
Packaging cost	3	3
	(0.14)	(0.16)
Packing material	30	30
	(1.42)	(1.62)
In the milling of 100 kg rice, 55 kg polished rice, 12 kg broken rice, 12 kg rice bran and 20 kg husk are obtained		
Returns from broken rice $@$ \gtrless 10 per kg, rice bran $@$ \gtrless 12 per kg, and husk $@$ \gtrless 9 per kg.	453	453
Sale price of processor/ purchase price of rice by the retailer	1931	1666
	(₹35/kg)	(₹ 30.2/kg)
Processor's margin	465	465
č	(22.08)	(25.12)
Transportation costs incurred by retailer	32	32
I J	(1.52)	(1.73)
Retailer's margin	143	153
5	(6.8)	(8.27)
Retailer's sale price of rice <i>i.e.</i> , consumer's price	2106	1851
1 / 1	(100)	(100)
	(₹ 38.30/kg)	(₹ 33.65 /kg)

Figures in parentheses indicate percentages to total costs

concept of Cost C is the most comprehensive one. It includes all costs, both fixed and variable and hence provides a basis for comparison between different types of operational holdings. The cost of cultivation of *kharif* and *rabi* rice according to cost concepts was worked out and presented in Table 2.

It is noticed that the commercial cost (Cost C3) was higher at ₹ 66,905 on *rabi* season compared to *kharif* season (₹ 67,899). Gross returns stood at ₹ 59,115, while the net returns were negative (-₹ 8,784). Net returns over operational costs were ₹ 11,452. Gross returns were ₹ 69,263, while net returns stood at ₹ 2,358. Net returns over operational costs were ₹ 18,981.

Price Spread of Rice

The price spread is the difference between the price paid by the consumer and price received by producer. The price spread analysis is carried out for old rice and newly harvested rice (Table-3). The channel that was selected was Producer \geq Processor \geq Retailer \geq Consumer.

The processing costs for both old and new rice were ₹ 30 each per quintal of rice processed. The processor's margin was identical for both types of rice and between the processor and retailer, it was processer who netted a margin of ₹ 465/Q and his share in consumer's rupee stood at 22.08 and 25.12 for the said types. The retailer's margin ranged from 6.8 to 8.27 per cent of consumer's rupee for

(₹/atl)

the said types. When the share of producer in the consumer's rupee was estimated, it was 62.92 can 57.27 per unit for old and new rice respectively. Similar findings were reported by Kumar *et al.* (2015).

CONCLUSION

The total cost of cultivation of rice per ha in *Kharif* 2010-2011 worked out ₹ 67,899 of which operational costs formed 70.20 per cent and the remaining fixed costs. Human labour was the major cost factor occupying 34.40 per cent of the total cost. Net income was found to be higher in *rabi* season. The total cost of cultivation in *rabi* was ₹ 66,905. Total operational costs were found be ₹ 50,282 slightly higher as compared to *Kharif* season. Among fixed costs rental value of owned land was less than that of *Kharif* season. When the share of producer in the consumer's rupee was estimated, it was 62.92 can 57.27 per unit for old and new rice respectively.

REFERENCES

- Kumar, G., Singh, H.L and Singh, A.K 2015. Study on price spread and market margin of wheat in Westren Uttar Pradesh. *Economic Affairs*. Vol: 60(1): 71-74.
- Sing, N.P., Ranjit Kumar, Singh, R.P and Jain, P.K (2005). "Rice Economy in India- Development and trade prospects, *Agricultural Situation in India*. 427-431.